

Control of flapwise vibrations in wind turbine blades using semi-active tuned mass dampers

John Arrigan¹, Vikram Pakrashi¹, Biswajit Basu^{1,*},† and Satish Nagarajaiah²

¹*Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland*

²*Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Mechanical Engineering and Material Science, Rice University, Houston, TX, U.S.A.*

SUMMARY

The increased size and flexibility of modern multi-Megawatt wind turbines has resulted in the dynamic behaviour of these structures becoming an important design consideration. The aim of this paper is to study the variation in natural frequency of wind turbine blades due to centrifugal stiffening and the potential use of semi-active tuned mass dampers (STMDs) in reducing vibrations in the flapwise direction with changing parameters in the turbine. The parameters considered were the rotational speed of the blades and the stiffness of the blades and nacelle. Two techniques have been employed to determine the natural frequency of a rotating blade. The first employs the Frobenius method to a rotating Bernoulli-Euler beam. These results are compared with the natural frequencies determined from an eigenvalue analysis of the dynamic model of the turbine including nacelle motion, which is developed in this paper. The model derived considers the structural dynamics of the turbine and includes the dynamic coupling between the blades and tower. The semi-active control system developed employs a frequency-tracking algorithm based on the short-time Fourier transform technique. This is used to continually tune the dampers to the dominant frequencies of the system. Numerical simulations have been carried out to study the effectiveness of the STMDs in reducing flapwise vibrations in the system when variations occur in certain parameters of the turbine. Steady and turbulent wind loading has been considered. Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Received 4 August 2009; Revised 10 May 2010; Accepted 14 May 2010

KEY WORDS: structural dynamics; vibration control; semi-active dampers; wind turbines; blade-tower interaction

1. INTRODUCTION

Wind turbines with outputs as large as 5 MW are being constructed with tower heights and rotor diameters of over 80 and 120 m, respectively. As a result of the increasing size of the turbine components, the blades are becoming the limiting factor towards larger and even more powerful turbines. Significant research has already been carried out into the dynamic behaviour of wind turbines. Rauh and Peinke [1] developed a model to study their dynamic response. Tavner *et al.* [2] performed a study into the reliability of large wind turbines. They noted that the installation of turbines in more remote locations, particularly offshore gives rise to the need for more accurate reliability analysis so that wind turbine availability and design life can be predicted.

*Correspondence to: Biswajit Basu, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland.

†E-mail: basub@tcd.ie

With the increased size of the turbine blades comes increased flexibility making it important to understand their dynamic behaviour. Sutherland [3] studied the fatigue properties of the different materials used in wind turbines from the steel in the tower to the composites used in blade design. Ahlstrom [4] carried out research into the effect of increased flexibility in turbine blades and found that it can lead to a significant drop in the power output of the turbine. Considerable research has been carried out into the area of blade design and their failure characteristics [5–7]. However, it is only during the last few years that research has started to focus on the dynamic behaviour of the turbine blades and the dynamic interaction that occurs between the blades and the tower.

Two main types of vibration occur in wind turbine blades, flapwise and edgewise. Flapwise vibrations are vibrations occurring out of the plane of rotation of the blades, whereas edgewise vibrations occur in the plane of rotation. Flapwise vibration is similar in nature to the phenomenon of fluttering in aircraft wings, and in extreme cases it has led to the turbine blades colliding with the tower resulting in catastrophic failure of the structure. Ronold and Larsen [8] studied the failure of a wind turbine blade in flapwise bending during normal operating conditions of the turbine. Murtagh and Basu [9] studied the flapwise motion of wind turbine blades and included their dynamic interaction with the tower. They found that inclusion of the blade–tower interaction could lead to significant increases in the maximum blade tip displacement.

Efforts to mitigate the increased vibration problems that are occurring in wind turbine blades have thus far concentrated on the actual design of the blades themselves. This has focused on attempting to increase the structural damping present in them or alter their aerodynamic properties [10,11]. The possibility of using dampers in the blades to control their dynamic behaviour has not yet been investigated in detail.

Vibration mitigating devices have been used in engineering systems for many decades, tuned mass dampers (TMDs) being one of the first types. TMDs consist of a mass connected by springs and dashpots to the primary structure. Passive TMDs have been used widely throughout civil engineering applications, particularly in tall buildings subjected to wind or earthquake loadings. One of the first buildings to have a TMD installed was the John Hancock Building in Boston, details of which can be found in Kwok and Samali [12]. Extensive research has been carried out into the use of passive TMDs and their suitability for vibration control. Hijmissen and Van Horssen [13] investigated the wind-induced vibrations of a tall building, modelled as a vertical Euler-Bernoulli beam. They found that the addition of a TMD at the top of the beam successfully reduced the response. Chang [14] compared the effectiveness of a TMD to other vibration mitigating devices, such as tuned liquid dampers. Furthermore, Kareem and Kline [15] studied the performance of multiple TMDs (MTMDs) under random excitation, while Li and Ni [16] looked at the optimization of a MTMD system.

The non-linearity of nearly all engineering dynamical systems has raised the need for semi-active TMDs (STMDs) due to their ability to adjust their tuning to cater for changes in the behaviour of the primary system. Semi-active devices are more desirable than active as they require significantly less power and are therefore more cost effective. Pinkaew and Fujino [17] looked at the use of STMDs for vibration mitigation in structures excited by harmonic loads. Nagarajaiah and Varadarajan [18], Nagarajaiah and Sonmez [19], and Nagarajaiah [20] have developed algorithms to track the dominant frequencies of the system using short-time Fourier transforms (STFT). This allowed the STMD to be continually tuned to the dominant frequency of the structure resulting in a more effective reduction in response.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the effectiveness of STMDs in the vibration control of wind turbine blades. Investigation into the natural frequencies of rotating blades is also considered for different rotational speeds. Two techniques have been employed for comparison. The first considers the natural frequencies of a rotating Bernoulli-Euler cantilever beam using the Frobenius method. This is then compared with the frequencies obtained from an eigenvalue analysis of the turbine model developed in this paper.

The hollow nature of wind turbine blades makes them naturally suitable for the use of internal damping devices. However, thus far, little work has been carried out investigating this

possibility. Most of the current research into the dynamic behaviour of wind turbine blades has focused on aerodynamic models of the blades themselves. The model developed in this paper looks purely at the structural dynamics of the turbine including the blade–tower interaction. Flapwise vibration only has been considered.

The model presented consists of three rotating cantilever beams (representing the turbine blades) connected at their root to a large mass (which models the nacelle) allowing the inclusion of blade–tower interaction. The masses, lengths, natural frequencies etc. were chosen to replicate those of a real wind turbine to accurately capture the dynamic interaction between the blades and nacelle. An STMD was connected to each blade tip and to the nacelle. This gave the completed model including STMDs a total of 8 degrees of freedom (DOF). Steady and turbulent wind loading was applied to the model acting in the flapwise direction.

2. ANALYSIS FOR CALCULATION OF NATURAL FREQUENCIES

2.1. Determination of blade natural frequencies using Frobenius method

The governing differential equation for a rotating Euler Bernoulli beam with rigid support under flapwise vibration is

$$\rho A \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(EI \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(T \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) = f(x, t) \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the density of the beam (taken as 1300 kg/m³ for a flexible blade), A is the cross-sectional area, w is the relative displacement of a point with respect to its static deflected position, E is the Young's modulus of elasticity of the material of the beam, I is the moment of inertia of the beam about its relevant axis, T is the centrifugal tension force on the beam at a point x with respect to the origin and f is the applied force per unit length on the beam. The cross-sectional area, A , and bending rigidity, EI , are assumed to be constant along the length of the beam. Both w and f are dependant on the location on the beam with respect to the origin, x , and time, t . The centrifugal tension T is expressed as

$$T(x) = \int_x^L \rho A \Omega^2 (r+x) dx \quad (2)$$

where L is the length of the beam, r is the radius of the rigid hub to which the flexible beam is attached and Ω is the angular velocity of rotation of the beam, which is assumed to be constant. The effect of gravity on the rotation of the beam is assumed negligible compared with the centrifugal effect.

The non-dimensional rotational speed parameter and natural frequency parameters are defined as

$$v = \eta^2 = \frac{\rho A \Omega^2 L^4}{EI} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mu = \bar{\Omega}^2 = \frac{\rho A \omega^2 L^4}{EI}, \quad (4)$$

respectively, where ω is the natural frequency of the beam. After setting $f(x, t) = 0$ in Equation (1), substituting in the non-dimensional parameters, and separating the time- and space-dependant ordinary differential equations, the modeshape equation is obtained in a dimensionless form as

$$D^4 W(X) - 0.5v(1+2\rho_0)D^2 W(X) + v\rho_0 D[XDW(X)] + 0.5vD(X^2 DW(X) - vW(X)) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where

$$D = \frac{d}{dX}, \quad X = \frac{x}{L}, \quad W(X, t) = \frac{w(x, t)}{L} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_0 = \frac{r}{L} \quad (6)$$

Employing the Frobenius method of series solution of differential equations as in [21] and considering ideal clamped-free boundary conditions for a cantilever, the natural frequency equation is obtained to be

$$D^2F(1, 2)D^3F(1, 3) - D^3F(1, 2)D^2F(1, 3) = 0 \tag{7}$$

where

$$F(X, c) = \sum a_{n+1}(c)X^{c+n} \tag{8}$$

and c is an undetermined exponent.

By choosing

$$a_1(c) = 1, \quad a_2(c) = 0, \quad a_3(c) = \frac{0.5v(1+2\rho_0)}{(c+2)(c+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad a_4(c) = \frac{-v\rho_0c}{(c+3)(c+2)(c+1)} \tag{9}$$

the recurrence relation is obtained as

$$(c+n+4)(c+n+3)(c+n+2)(c+n+1)a_{n+5}(c) - 0.5v(1+2\rho_0)(c+n+2)(c+n+1)a_{n+3}(c) + v\rho_0(c+n+1)^2a_{n+2}(c) + [0.5v(c+n)(c+n+1) - \mu]a_{n+1}(c) = 0 \tag{10}$$

The normalized modeshape equation can be derived as

$$\Phi_n(X) = \frac{[D^2F(1, 3)F(X, 2) - D^2F(1, 2)F(X, 3)]}{[D^2F(1, 3)F(1, 2) - D^2F(1, 2)F(1, 3)]} \tag{11}$$

It is important to note that for an Euler Bernoulli rotating beam with doubly symmetric cross-section, it can be shown that the in-plane and out-of-plane vibrations are uncoupled and the respective natural frequencies differ by a constant equal to the square of the non-dimensional rotational speed. This paper considers only the out-of-plane or flapwise vibrations. The results obtained using the Frobenius technique are discussed later in the paper. The formulation does not consider the motion of the nacelle at the base of the blade.

3. LAGRANGIAN FORMULATION

3.1. Dynamic model including nacelle motion

The dynamic model was formulated using the Lagrangian formulation expressed in Equation (12) below

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\delta T}{\delta q_i} + \frac{\delta V}{\delta q_i} = Q_i \tag{12}$$

where T is the kinetic energy of the system, V is the potential energy of the system, q_i is the displacement of the generalized DOF i and Q_i is the generalized loading for DOF i . The kinetic and potential energies of the model were first derived including the motion of the nacelle and are stated in Equations (13a) and (13b). These expressions were then substituted back into the Lagrangian formulation in Equation (12) to allow the equations of motion to be determined.

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \bar{m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^L v_{bi}^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} M_{nac} \dot{q}_{nac}^2 \tag{13a}$$

$$V = \frac{1}{2} EI \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^L \left(\frac{\delta^2 u_i}{\delta x^2} \right)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} K_{nac} q_{nac}^2 \tag{13b}$$

where \bar{m} is the mass per unit length of the blade (assumed constant), L is the length of the blade (= 48 m), v_{bi} is the absolute velocity of blade ‘ i ’ including the nacelle motion that causes blade tip displacement, this is a function of both the position along the blade, x , and time, t . M_{nac} is the mass of nacelle, E is the Young’s Modulus for the blade, I is the second moment of area of blade, u_i is the relative displacement of the blade ‘ i ’, K_{nac} is the stiffness of the nacelle and q_{nac} is the displacement of the nacelle.

Each blade was modelled as a cantilever beam with uniformly distributed parameters as can be observed from the expressions for the kinetic and potential energies in Equations (13a) and (13b). The relative displacement of the blades, u_i , was expressed as the product of the modeshape multiplied by the tip displacement, shown in Equation (14) below

$$u_i(x, t) = \phi(x)q_i(t) \tag{14}$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the modeshape and $q_i(t)$ is the relative tip displacement of blade i in the flapwise direction. The blades were assumed to be vibrating in their fundamental mode and a quadratic modeshape was assumed. This allowed reduction of the continuous beam to a single DOF, a technique known as Rayleigh's method [22].

The cantilevers were attached at their root to a large mass representing the nacelle of the turbine. This allowed for the inclusion of the blade–tower interaction in the model. STMDs were attached to the system, modelled as mass-spring-dashpot systems whose tuning was controlled by the semi-active algorithm outlined later in this paper. A schematic of the model is shown in Figure 1. The DOF marked q_1, q_2, q_3 and q_{nac} represent the motion of the blades and nacelle, with the STMD displacements labelled as d_i , where i corresponds to the relevant DOF. For simplicity, just two STMDs are shown in the diagram. One attached to the nacelle and the other attached to the blade in the upright vertical position.

The final model with STMDs attached consisted of a total of eight DOF (with a total of four dampers, one in each of the blades and one at the nacelle) expressed in the standard form as in Equation (15) below.

$$[M]\{\ddot{q}\} + [C]\{\dot{q}\} + [K]\{q\} = \{Q\} \tag{15}$$

where $[M]$, $[C]$ and $[K]$ are the mass, damping and stiffness matrices of the system, respectively. $\{\ddot{q}\}$, $\{\dot{q}\}$ and $\{q\}$ are the acceleration, velocity and displacement vectors and $\{Q\}$ is the loading. Centrifugal stiffening was added to the model as per the formula developed by Hansen [11]. Structural damping included in the system was assumed to be in the form of stiffness proportional damping.

3.2. Loading

Two simple load cases were studied in this paper. The first loading scenario looked at the effect of a steady wind load that varied linearly with height. The rotation of the blades meant that the loading on each blade was time dependant as they moved through the wind field. As a couple of harmonic terms arose in the loading, it was simplified to just the first harmonic so the performance of the STMDs could be assessed for this simpler load case. Equation (16) shows the expression for the loading on blade 1. The loads on blades 2 and 3 are shifted by angles of




Figure 1. Dynamic model.

$2\pi/3$ and $4\pi/3$, respectively.

$$Q_1 = \left(\frac{v_{nac}^2 A}{3} + \frac{v_{nac+L}^2 A}{10} \right) + \left(\frac{v_{nac} v_{nac+L} A}{2} \right) \cos(\Omega t) \quad (16)$$

where v_{nac} is the wind speed at nacelle height, v_{nac+L} is the change in wind speed between the nacelle and the maximum blade tip height, i.e., when blade is in upright vertical position. A is the area of blade, taken as 1 to normalize the load, with Ω as before equal to the rotational speed of the blade. The loading on the nacelle was assumed to be zero so that all motion of the nacelle was due to the forces transferred from the blades through the coupling present in the system.

The second loading scenario considered the same load case as the first but with an added random component modelling turbulent wind. This turbulent velocity component was generated at a height equal to that of the nacelle using a Kaimal spectrum [23] defined by Equations (17)–(19) below. Uniform turbulence was assumed for the blades.

$$\frac{f S_{vv}(H, f)}{v_*^2} = \frac{200c}{(1+50c)^{5/3}} \quad (17)$$

where H is the nacelle height, $S_{vv}(H, f)$ is the power spectral density function of the fluctuating wind velocity as a function of the hub elevation and frequency, v_* is the friction velocity from Equation (18), and c is known as the Monin coordinate which is defined in Equation (19).

$$\bar{v}(H) = \frac{1}{k} v_* \ln \frac{H}{z_0} \quad (18)$$

$$c = \frac{fH}{\bar{v}(H)} \quad (19)$$

where k is Von-Karman's constant (typically around 0.4 [24]), $z_0 = 0.005$ (the roughness length) and $\bar{v}(H)$ is the mean wind speed. This results in a turbulence intensity of 0.115 in the generated spectrum.

4. STFT-BASED TRACKING ALGORITHM

STFT is a commonly used method of identifying the time–frequency distribution of non-stationary signals. It allows local frequencies to be identified in the response of the system that may only exist for a short period of time. These local frequencies can be missed by normal Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) techniques. The STFT algorithm splits up the signal into shorter time segments and an FFT is performed on each segment to identify the dominant frequencies present in the system during the time period considered. Combining the frequency spectra of each of these short-time segments results in the time–frequency distribution of the system over the entire time history [25].

The STFT algorithm developed in this study allows the STMDs to be tuned in real time to the dominant frequencies in the system. Before each time segment is Fourier analysed, it is multiplied by a window function centred on the time of interest. In this case, the time of interest is the current time of the response to allow for real-time tuning. A Hanning window function has been employed in this paper, emphasizing the frequencies near the current time. Once the weighted signal is obtained, an FFT is performed and the frequency spectrum is obtained. The dominant frequencies are then identified and the STMDs are tuned to these frequencies. The algorithm is repeated every second allowing the tuning of the STMDs to be adjusted in real time as the frequencies present in the system change. The semi-active algorithm is outlined in the flow chart shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Semi-active algorithm.

5. RESULTS

5.1. Natural frequency estimation

The natural frequency was first calculated using the Frobenius method for a stationary Bernoulli Euler beam, i.e. $\Omega = 0$. This value was then used in the Lagrangian dynamic model with the effect of centrifugal stiffening added in, which is dependent on the rotational speed, Ω . Natural frequencies for three other rotational speeds were then obtained. The Frobenius method results for the Bernoulli Euler beam were compared with two different cases from Lagrangian analysis. The first was a single rotating uniform cantilever beam assuming the nacelle motion to be zero. The second was a three-blade turbine model, which included blade–tower interaction. A 14 term expansion was deemed sufficient for the Frobenius results. All natural frequencies calculated are for the first mode of vibration. Higher modes can be calculated easily using the Frobenius technique. The results for the first mode are shown in Table I; it can be seen that there is a good agreement between the Frobenius results and the Lagrangian single blade model. For the full three-blade model, including nacelle coupling, all three-blade natural frequencies are listed. As evident in Table I, two of these are in good agreement with the Frobenius results, whereas the third is significantly different. This is a result of the interaction between the blades and nacelle. Omission of the nacelle coupling results in three identical natural frequencies for the blades which are in close agreement with the Lagrangian single blade and Frobenius results.

5.2. Dynamic response—steady wind load

The model was first run with all parameters constant (Ω , ω_b and ω_{nac}) so the response of the system could be observed under normal operating conditions of the turbine. Figure 3(a), (b) shows the time history response of one of the blades and nacelle, respectively, whereas Figure 4(a), (b) illustrates the corresponding frequency content.

5.3. Dynamic control—turbulent wind load

The response of the model to the turbulent wind load described in Section 2.3 was then investigated in detail, considering variation in the parameters of the system during operation of the turbine. The results are discussed below.

5.3.1. Variation of Ω —rotational speed of the blades. The first parameter varied was the rotational speed of the blades, Ω . The variation considered the blades slowing down linearly over 180 s from 3.1 rad/s to 1.57 rad/s. The natural frequency of the blades and nacelle were kept

Table I. Natural frequency estimates.

Ω (Revs/min)	Bernoulli-Euler Frobenius results (Hz)	Lagrangian 1-blade (no coupling) Eigenvalues (Hz)	Lagrangian 3-blades (nacelle coupled) Eigenvalues (Hz)
0	1.5588	1.5588	1.5588, 1.5588, 1.5588
10	1.5703	1.5700	1.5700, 1.5700, 1.9207
60	1.9274	1.9399	1.9394, 1.9394, 2.3649
120	2.8010	2.7863	2.7859, 2.7859, 3.3867




Figure 3. (a) Displacement of blade 1, $\Omega = 3.14$ rads/s, $\omega_b = 10$ rads/s, $\omega_{nac} = 3.566$ rads/s and (b) Displacement of nacelle, $\Omega = 3.14$ rads/s, $\omega_b = 10$ rads/s, $\omega_{nac} = 3.566$ rads/s.




Figure 4. (a) Blade frequency response, $\Omega = 3.14$ rads/s, $\omega_b = 10$ rads/s, $\omega_{nac} = 3.566$ rads/s and (b) Nacelle frequency response, $\Omega = 3.14$ rads/s, $\omega_b = 10$ rads/s, $\omega_{nac} = 3.566$ rads/s.

constant. Figure 5(a) shows the undamped and damped response of one of the blades with Figure 5(b) showing the corresponding STMD behaviour by plotting the blade displacement, STMD displacement and STMD tuning all with respect to time. This allows an insight into the behaviour of the semi-active algorithm. As can be seen in Figure 5(a), a significant reduction is achieved in the response of the blade. The behaviour of the STMD in Figure 5(b) clearly shows the semi-active behaviour initiated at $t = 41$ s and the tuning of the STMD changing with respect to time. The nacelle response and STMD behaviour is illustrated in Figure 6(a), (b). A large reduction is again achieved when the STMD starts operating at $t = 41$ s.

5.3.2. Variation of ω_{b1} —the natural frequency of blade 1. The natural frequency of blade 1 was varied from 1.5588 Hz (9.79 rad/s) to 1.2398 Hz (7.79 rad/s) at $t = 70$ s. This loss of blade




Figure 5. (a) Displacement response of blade 1, varying Ω , turbulent wind load and (b) Blade 1 STMD behaviour, varying Ω , turbulent wind load.




Figure 6. (a) Displacement response of Nacelle, varying Ω , turbulent wind load and (b) Nacelle STMD behaviour, varying Ω , turbulent wind load.

stiffness simulates damage occurring in the blade. The other two blades were assumed to remain unchanged.

Figure 7(a) plots the displacement response of blade 1. As can be observed at $t = 70$ s, the behaviour of the blade changes due to the change in its natural frequency. The tuning of the STMD adapts for this as can be seen in Figure 7(b). This results in an effective reduction in the response of the blade before and after the change in natural frequency, as can be observed in Figure 7(a).

The corresponding nacelle plots are shown in Figure 8(a), (b). Again the algorithm identifies the shift in system behaviour and takes this into account, thus achieving a response reduction before and after the change in the natural frequency of blade 1.

5.3.3. Variation of ω_{nac} —the natural frequency of the nacelle. The natural frequency of the nacelle was then varied from 0.5675 Hz (3.566 rad/s) to 0.4775 Hz (3 rad/s) again at $t = 70$ s, simulating damage to the tower of the turbine.

The displacement response of blade 1 is plotted in Figure 9(a) with the corresponding STMD behaviour shown in Figure 9(b). No real shift in blade behaviour is seen at $t = 70$ s. This suggests that the frequency of the tower doesn't have a large effect on the blade response. However, this




Figure 7. (a) Displacement response of blade 1, varying ω_b , turbulent wind load and (b) Blade 1 STMD behaviour, varying ω_b , turbulent wind load.




Figure 8. (a) Displacement response of nacelle, varying ω_b , turbulent wind load and (b) Nacelle STMD behaviour, varying ω_b , turbulent wind load.




Figure 9. (a) Displacement response of blade 1, varying ω_{nac} , turbulent wind load and (b) Blade 1 STMD behaviour, varying ω_{nac} , turbulent wind load.




Figure 10. (a) Displacement response of nacelle, varying ω_{nac} , turbulent wind load and (b) Nacelle STMD behaviour, varying ω_{nac} , turbulent wind load.

could also be a result of the fact that no load is considered to act on the nacelle. A good reduction is again seen in the blade response with the STMD.

The same is seen for the nacelle results in Figure 10(a), (b). As expected, the semi-active algorithm achieves a good reduction in response. A slight change can be seen in the tuning of the nacelle STMD due to the shift in natural frequency but clearly this shift is not enough to cause a noticeable change in the nacelle's behaviour.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the use of STMDs to control wind turbine blades in flapwise bending has been investigated. An STFT-based algorithm has been developed for semi-active tuning. The model developed in this paper focused only on the structural dynamics of the turbine including the interaction between the blades and the tower. The natural frequency of the rotating blades for different rotational speeds, Ω , were calculated using a Lagrangian model by performing an eigenvalue analysis on the system. These results were compared with those obtained by applying the Frobenius method to a rotating Bernoulli Euler beam with the same stationary natural frequency. Good agreement was seen between the models and the methods used.

Four STMDs were added to the model, one at each blade tip and one at the nacelle to control the response of each component. The displacement response of the system was controlled in real time by processing a moving window of 40 s and feeding back the information into the semi-active algorithm. This 40-s window allowed a frequency of 0.025 Hz to be captured which is the incremental frequency for retuning of the STMDs. This ensures no mistuning of the dampers. The windowed time segment was then Fourier analysed to determine the dominant frequencies in the system at the current time. The STMDs were then repeatedly tuned every second in real time according to this algorithm. A Hanning window function was employed.

Numerical simulations were carried out to ascertain the effectiveness of the STMDs in mitigating flapwise vibrations in the model when variations were considered in three of the system parameters. The parameters varied were the rotational speed, Ω , the natural frequency of blade 1, ω_{b1} , and the natural frequency of the nacelle, ω_{nac} . This allowed the simulations to take account of changes in system parameters during operational conditions of the turbine due to environmental changes or damage in the blades and nacelle, which may occur during the life cycle of the turbine. Significant reduction was achieved by the semi-active algorithm for the turbulent wind loading considered, highlighting the viability of STMDs in controlling flapwise vibrations in wind turbines. The STMDs also successfully reduce the response of the system when excited by the simpler steady wind load. Further studies by the authors into the investigation and control of edgewise vibrations in the blades are currently in progress.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering and Technology (IRCSET) and The Marine Institute under the Networking and Technology Transfer Initiative for providing financial support for this work.

REFERENCES

1. Rauh A, Peinke J. A phenomenological model for the dynamic response of wind turbines to turbulent wind. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 2004; **92**:159–183.
2. Tavner PJ, Xiang J, Spinato F. Reliability analysis for wind turbines. *Wind Energy* 2007; **10**:1–18.
3. Sutherland HJ. A summary of the fatigue properties of wind turbine materials. *Wind Energy* 2000; **3**:1–34.
4. Ahlstrom A. Influence of wind turbine flexibility on loads and power production. *Wind Energy* 2006; **9**:237–249.
5. Kong C, Bang J, Sugiyama Y. Structural investigation of composite wind turbine blade considering various load cases and fatigue life. *Energy* 2005; **30**:2101–2114.
6. Bechly ME, Clausen PD. Structural design of a composite wind turbine blade using finite element analysis. *Computers and Structures* 1997; **63**:639–646.
7. Jensen FM, Falzon BG, Ankersen J, Stang H. Structural testing and numerical simulation of 34m composite wind turbine blade. *Composite Structures* 2006; **76**:52–61.
8. Ronold KO, Larsen GC. Reliability-based design of wind-turbine rotor blades against failure in ultimate loading. *Engineering Structures* 2000; **22**:565–574.
9. Murtagh PJ, Basu B, Broderick BM. Along-wind response of a wind turbine tower with blade coupling subjected to rotationally sampled wind loading. *Engineering Structures* 2005; **27**:1209–1219.
10. Chaviropoulos PK, Politis ES, Lekou DJ, Sorensen NN, Hansen MH, Bulder BH, Winkelaar D, Lindenburg C, Saravanos DA, Philippidis TP, Galiotis C, Hansen MOL, Kossivas T. Enhancing the damping of wind turbine rotor blades, the DAMPBLADE Project. *Wind Energy* 2006; **9**:163–177.
11. Chaviropoulos PK, Nikolaou IG, Aggelis KA, Sorensen NN, Johansen J, Hansen MOL, Gaunaa M, Hambraus T, von Geyr HF, Hirsch C, Shun K, Voutsinas SG, Tzabiras G, Perivolaris J, Dyrmose SZ. Viscous and aeroelastic effects on wind turbine blades. The VISCEL project. Part 1: 3D Navier-Stokes rotor simulations. *Wind Energy* 2003; **6**:365–385.
12. Kwok KCS, Samali B. Performance of tuned mass dampers under wind loads. *Engineering Structures* 1995; **17**:655–667.
13. Hijmissen JW, Van Horssen WT. On aspects of damping for a vertical beam with a tuned mass damper at the top. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2007; **50**:169–190.
14. Chang CC. Mass dampers and their optimal designs for building vibration control. *Engineering Structures* 1999; **21**:454–463.
15. Kareem A, Kline S. Performance of multiple mass dampers under random loading. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 1995; **121**:348–361.
16. Li H-N, Ni X-L. Optimization of non-uniformly distributed multiple tuned mass damper. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 2007; **308**:80–97.
17. Pinkaew T, Fujino Y. Effectiveness of semi-active tuned mass dampers under harmonic excitation. *Engineering Structures* 2001; **23**:850–856.
18. Nagarajaiah S, Varadarajan N. Short time Fourier transform algorithm for wind response control of buildings with variable stiffness TMD. *Engineering Structures* 2005; **27**:431–441.
19. Nagarajaiah S, Sonmez E. Structures with semiactive variable stiffness single/multiple tuned mass dampers. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 2007; **133**:67–77.
20. Nagarajaiah S. Adaptive passive, semiactive, smart tuned mass dampers: identification and control using empirical mode decomposition, hilbert transform, and short-term Fourier transform. *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 2009; **16**:800–841.
21. Naguleswaran S. Lateral vibration of a centrifugally tensioned uniform Euler-Bernoulli beam. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 1994; **176**:613–624.
22. Clough RW, Penzien J. *Dynamics of Structures*. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1993.
23. Kaimal JC, Wyngaard JC, Izumi Y, Coté OR. Spectral characteristics of surface-layer turbulence. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 1972; **98**:563–589.
24. Simiu E, Scanlan R. *Wind Effects on Structures*. Wiley: New York, 1996.
25. Nagarajaiah S, Basu B. Output only modal identification and structural damage detection using time frequency & wavelet techniques. *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration* 2009; **8**:583–605.